# STATE OF CALIFORNIA Budget Change Proposal - Cover Sheet DF-46 (REV 08/15)

DI -40 (ILV )	50/10)						
Fiscal Year 2016-17	Business Unit 3940	Department State Water Resour	ces Control Board		Priority No.		
Budget Reques 3940-303-BCP		Program 3560-WATER QUAI	LITY	Subprogram			
Budget Reques Technical Bond							
local assistance Proposition 13,	er Resources Contro e authority, (2) re-ap and 84 to ensure the	ol Board (Water Board) opropriation of local as ne purpose of the bond se funds be available fo	sistance authority Is are met with the	and (3) the appre	opriation of funds for projects. Additionally,		
Requires Legis  Yes	lation ⊠ No		Code Section(s) to be Added/Amended/Repealed				
components?			Department CIO Date		Date		
		ion Officer must sign. Special Project Repor	t (SPR) or Feasibi	lity Study Report	(FSR) was		
approved by the	e Department of Te	chnology, or previously	by the Departme	nt of Finance.			
☐ FSR ☐	SPR	Project No.		Date:	province to		
		ent, does other depart			Yes		
Prepared By	1 Vang	Date 3/24/16	Reviewed By	1. Martoya	Date 3/25/2016		
Department Dir	ector Howard	Date 3/25/16	Agency Secretar	M	Date //		
	<b>。在李沙里的</b>	Department of Fi	nance Use Only				
Additional Revi	ew:  Capital Outla	ay □ITCU □ FSCL	J OSAE O	CALSTARS D	Pept. of Technology		
BCP Type:	BCP Type:						
PPBA	Original S Ellen f	signed By: Vloratti	Date submitted t	o the Legislature			

-1-16

## A. Budget Request Summary

The State Water Resources Control Board (Water Board) requests (1) reversion of unused State Operations and Local Assistance Authority, (2) re-appropriation of local assistance authority and (3) the appropriation of funds for Proposition 13, and 84 to ensure the purpose of the bonds are met with the funding of new projects. Additionally, the Water Board requests that these funds be available for encumbrance until June 30, 2019 and liquidation until June 30, 2021. This proposal will align project schedules with Proposition 1 solicitations to allow for increased efficiency. In addition, the Water Board requests technical corrections to three items of appropriation included as part of the Governor's Budget in error. Finally, the Water Board requests clarifying provisional language to be added to specified items of bond appropriations to ensure the State Controller's Office appropriately extends encumbrance and liquidation periods for bond funds consistent with Legislative intent and programmatic need.

# B. Background/History

The State's growing population has increasing needs for safe water supplies that are important to public health, safety and welfare. The voters have approved several bonds providing funds for water projects, facilities and programs. The Water Board administers a number of bond-funded initiatives supporting water quality programs, including:

# The Safe, Clean, Reliable Water Supply Fund (Proposition 13):

California voters approved Proposition 13 in the March 2000, general election, authorizing the issuance of bonds in the amount of \$1.97 billion for statewide. Of the \$1.97 billion dollars, the Water Board is responsible for administering approximately \$695 million dollars in funding for the following ten programs.

Program	Dollars (in millions)
Small Community Grants	\$34.0
Water Recycling	\$40.0
Seawater Intrusion Control	\$25.0
Watershed Protection	\$90.0
Southern California Integrated Watershed	\$235.0
Lake Elsinore and San Jacinto Watershed	\$15.0
Nonpoint Source Pollution Control	\$100.0
State Revolving Fund	\$30.5
Wastewater Construction Grants	\$35.5
Coastal Nonpoint Source Control	\$90.0
TOTAL:	\$695.0

# The California Clean Water, Clean Air, Safe Neighborhood Parks, and Coastal Protection Act of 2002 (Proposition 40):

In the March 2002 primary election, the voters approved Proposition 40, which authorized the issuance of \$2.6 billion dollars in bonds. Assembly Bill (AB) 2534 (Chapter 727, statutes of 2002) appropriated \$175 million dollars for the following seven programs to the Water Board.

Program	Dollars (in millions)
Clean Beaches Grants	\$46.0
Small Community Wastewater Grants	\$15.0
Urban Storm-water Grants	\$15.0
Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Grants	\$20.0
Agriculture Water Quality Grants	\$12.0
Integrated Watershed Management Grants	\$57.0
Small Community Groundwater Grants	\$10.0
TOTAL:	\$175.0

# The Water Security, Clean Drinking Water, Coastal and Beach Protection Act of 2002 (Proposition 50):

In November 2002, the voters approved Proposition 50, which authorized the issuance of \$3.4 billion dollars in bonds. The Water Board is responsible for administering approximately \$1,014 billion dollars to implement projects that address water pollution and various water quality issues.

Program	Dollars (in millions)
Clean Water and Water Quality	\$100.0
Coastal Water Quality	\$80.0
Santa Monica Bay Restoration	\$20.0
Integrated Regional Water Management	\$250.0
CALFED Watershed and Water Recycling	\$79.0
Water Security	\$50.0
Safe Drinking Water	\$435.0
TOTAL:	\$1,014.0

# The Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006 (Prop 84):

In November 2006, the voters approved the Proposition 84, which authorized the issuance of \$5.388 billion dollars in bonds. The Water Board is responsible for administering approximately \$575 million dollars to implement projects that address safe drinking water, water quality and supply, water pollution and contamination control, and various water quality issues.

Program	Dollars (in millions)
State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund	\$80.0
Agricultural Water Quality Grant Program	\$15.0
Urban Storm-water Grant Program	\$90.0
Clean Beaches Grant Program	\$90.0
Emergency Water Grants	\$10.0
Small Community Water Grants	\$180.0
Groundwater Contamination	60.0
Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	50.0
TOTAL:	\$575.0

# Resource History (Dollars in thousands)

Program Budget	PY - 4	PY - 3	PY - 2	PY - 1	PY
Authorized Expenditures	79,296	90,780	101,547	136,851	184,697
Actual Expenditures	41,386	72,590	86,315	110,315	62,282
Revenues	0	0	0	0	0
Authorized Positions	10.0	10.2	17.4	16.2	26
Filled Positions	10.0	10.2	17.4	16.2	26
Vacancies	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Authorized and filled position reflect Water Board Position only (do not include Dept. of Public Health) in

PY 1-4 includes all positions in Water Board

#### **Workload History**

The figures in the Workload Chart represent the total local assistance dollars remaining by Proposition that has not been expended or encumbered at the end of each fiscal year.

Workload Measure	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Proposition 13.			52,300	52,360	49,871
Proposition 40	35,200	35,300	35,200	29,687	17,745
Proposition 50	57,900	48,400	43,165	26,835	28,114
Proposition 84	203,700	128,900	78,337	34,804	88,411

#### C. State Level Considerations

Since the early 1970's, federal and state governments have enacted legislation to clean up our nation's water supply. Interest from various public groups is very high. Californian's passed several bonds providing a dedicated source of funds for water projects, facilities and programs. The State faces a water crisis that threatens our economy and environment unless our limited water resources are protected from pollution, and conserved and recycled whenever economically, environmentally and technically feasible. The State's growing population has increasing needs for safe water supplies that are important to the public health, safety and welfare. Climate change is affecting our natural resources demanding adaptation to the way water is managed.

This proposal is consistent with Governor Brown's priorities for California. The Governor's "Water Plan For The 21<sup>st</sup> Century" focuses on ensuring safe and sufficient water supplies. Specifically, the Water Plan emphasizes ensuring safe drinking water for all Californians; increasing our water supplies by expanding water efficiency and recycling; restoring and maintaining groundwater supplies; and protecting and restoring the Delta. By financing water quality improvement projects through voterapproved bond funds, the Water Board is assisting with the implementation of Governor Brown's goal to protect and restore California waters.

This proposal also supports key goals of the 2008-2012 Water Board's Strategic Plan by funding programs under Proposition 13, 40, 50, and 84. Specifically, Goal 1, Objective 2 states: "Manage urban runoff to reduce pollutant loadings, reduce wet weather beach posting by 75 percent by 2020, and promote sustainable water supplies." Bond funds will support this objective by funding projects that are aimed at addressing these water quality issues. In addition, Goal 3, Objective 2 states: "Promote recycled water use and storm water reuse as locally available, sustainable supplies, consistent with implementation of the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, and other State and regional efforts." This objective is also supported by bond funded programs and projects statewide. Californians continue to have a high level of interest in ensuring that the Bond funds are distributed as expeditiously as possible to address water quality problems. In light of recent news reports, transparency and accountability are critical in managing these bond funded programs. Not only does the Water Board report to the Department of Finance, reports are also prepared for the Statewide Bond Coordinator's Unit that was established to ensure propositions are not over-allocated. The Statewide Bond Accountability Website is available to the public for review. This is located at: http://www.resources.ca.gov/statewide bonds oversight.html.

## D. Justification

Each fiscal year, the Water Board requests authority and/or makes adjustments to existing budget act appropriations based on anticipated funding needs. Estimating project executions and completions are a challenge. Delays in project completion due to weather, fires, permitting issues, delays in resolutions from local governments and unexpected events result in project delays or projects coming in under budget. The drought has caused additional delays due to inability to conduct monitoring in order to develop compliance plans to develop projects. This creates the need to revert and/or re-appropriate authority. Therefore, for FY 2016-17 the Water Board is requesting to align our budget authority to our actual expenditure plan and remaining bond authorization. It will also align project schedules with Proposition 1 solicitations to allow for increased efficiency.

# **State Operations:**

The State Water Board requests a reduction in state operations authority of \$175,000 (from \$225,000) in Proposition 13, Santa Ana River Watershed Subaccount, Item 3940-001-6016, due to current workload and funds being exhausted.

## Reversions

The Water Board requests that the unexpended state operations authority from FY 2015-16 be reverted to prevent our bond allocation from being over-committed.

Proposition	Program	Item No.	Fiscal Year
13	Santa Ana River Watershed Subaccount	3940-001-6016	15/16
50	Water Security, Clean Drinking Water, Coastal and Beach Protection Fund of 2002	3940-001-6031	15/16
84	Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Fund of 2006	3940-001-6051 \$2,257,000	15/16

### **Local Assistance:**

# **Reversions**

The Water Board requests that the unexpended local assistance authority from FY 2013-14 and FY 2015-16 be reverted to prevent our bond allocation from being over-committed.

sProposition	Program	Item No.	Fiscal Year	Amount to Revert
h <sup>50</sup> e	Water Security, Clean Drinking Water, Coastal and Beach Protection Fund of 2002	4265-111-6031 3940-101-6031	13/14 15/16	Up to \$782,691 Up to \$390,000
W				

# **Local Assistance Re-appropriations**

Water Board requests that the local assistance appropriations below be re-appropriated with an encumbering period to June 30, 2019 and liquidation period to June 20, 2021 in order to align project completion dates with Proposition 1 solicitations.

Proposition	Program	Item No.	Fiscal Year	Amount to Re- appropriate
13	Watershed Protection Subaccount	3940-101-6013	06/07 07/08 08/09	Balance of appropriation except for amount previously reverted in prior fiscal years.

Proposition	Program	Item No.	Fiscal Year	Amount to Re- appropriate
13	Nonpoint Source	3940-101-6019	07/08 08/09 14/15	Balance of appropriation except for amount previously reverted in prior fiscal years.

Proposition	Program	Item No.	Fiscal Year	Amount to Re- appropriate
13	Coastal Nonpoint Source	3940-101-6022	07/08 08/09 14/15	Balance of appropriation except for amount previously reverted in prior fiscal years.

Proposition	Program	Item No.	Fiscal Year	Amount to Re- appropriate
40	The California Clean Water, Clean Air, Safe Neighborhood Parks, and Coastal Protection Act of 2002	3940-101-6029	10/11- Urban Stormwater, Nonpoint Source Pollution, Ag Water Quality, Integrated Watershed Management, Clean Beaches, Small Community Wastewater Grant, Small Community Groundwater Grant, Integrated Watershed Wanagement, Groundwater	Balance of appropriation except for amount previously reverted in prior fiscal years.

Proposition	Program	Item No.	Fiscal Year	Amount to Re- appropriate
50	Water Security, Clean Drinking Water, Coastal and Beach Protection Fund of 2002	3940-101-6031	05/06-79540 - Small Community Wastewater; 79543 - Clean Beaches,	Balance of appropriation except for amount previously reverted in prior fiscal

Coastal Nonpoint years. Source; 79543(1) -Santa Monica Bay Restoration; 79560-Groundwater; 79564- Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) 06/07 - 79540 -CalFED Drinking Water; 79550(f) -CalFED Watershed; 79550(g) - CalFED Water Recycling; 79560-Groundwater; 79564 – Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) 07/08-79540 - Small Community Wastewater, Ag Water Quality; 79543(1)-Santa Monica Bay Restoration; 79550(g) -CalFED Water Recycling; 79560-Groundwater; 79564 -Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) 08/09-79540 - Ag Water Quality, Dairies; 79550(g) - CalFED Water Recycling 10/11-79540 - Small Community Wastewater; 79543(1) - Santa Monica Bay Restoration; 79550(g) - CalFED Water Recycling; 79564 -Integrated Regional Water Management(IRWM) 14/15 - 79540 -**Small Community** Wastewater; 79543

	- Coastal Nonpoint Source; 79550(f) - CalFED Watershed; 79520 - Water Security Projects, Source Protection Grants; 79530(a)- Disinfectant by-Prod. Grant, Comm Wtr Qual Monitoring, New Technologies Grant, Sm Community DW Sys Grants 79530(a)(b) - So Cal Water Agency Grants
--	---

Proposition	Program	Item No.	Fiscal Year	Amount to Re- appropriate
84	Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Fund of 2006	3940-101-6051 3940-111-6051	07/08- Ag Water Quality, Stormwater, Clean Beaches, ASBS, Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission; State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund 08/09- Ag Water Quality, Stormwater, Clean Beaches, ASBS, Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission	Balance of appropriation except for amount previously reverted in prior fiscal years.
			11/12 – Ag Water Quality, Stormwater, Clean Beaches, ASBS, Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission 14/15 – State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund; Stormwater,	

Clean
Beaches,
Section 75023-
State
Revolving
Fund State
Match; Section
75021
Emergency
Grants;
Section 75022
-Infrastructure
Grants;
Section 75025
Ground Water
Contamination
Contamination

The Water Board requests that the local assistance appropriation in FY 2012, EY 2012, Chapter 21/12

liquidation period extend to June 30, 2019 in the following sections.

Proposition	Program	Item No.	Fiscal Year	Amount to Re- appropriate
50	Water Security, Clean Drinking Water, Coastal and Beach Protection Fund of 2002	4265-111-6031	12/13 – Section 79520- Water Security Projects; Source Protection Grants; Section 79530(a) – Disinfectant by Prod. Grant, Comm. Wtr Qual Monitoring, New Technologies Grant, Sm Community DW Sys Grants, Section 79530(a)(b)- So Cal Water Agency Grants	Balance of appropriation except for amount previously reverted in prior fiscal years.

# **Appropriations:**

The Water Board requests that the local assistance amount below be appropriated with an encumbering period to June 30, 2019 and liquidation period to June 30, 2021. It is the intent of the Water Board to award and expend the funds for the programs listed below. However, the priorities of the Water Board may change creating the need to revise specified allocations by program that will not exceed total authority for FY.

Proposition Program		item No.	Amount to Appropriate	
84	Clean Beaches	3940-101-6051	\$3,289,000	

In addition, the Water Board requests the following technical corrections to remove items of appropriation mistakenly included during the preparation of the 2016-17 Governor's Budget. The technical corrections listed below correct this error.

3940-101-6013 – Delete item \$1,990,000 FY 2016-17 3940-101-6019 – Delete item \$3,167,000 FY 2016-17 3840-101-6031 – Delete item \$13,515,000 FY 2016-17

# E. Outcomes and Accountability

The Water Board has adopted Guidelines for implementation of the Proposition 13, 40, 50 and 84 grant programs. The Guidelines establish the criteria and process that the Water Board uses to select projects through competitive solicitations. Following project selection, the Water Board enters into grant agreements with each recipient. The Water Board staff monitors implementation of the project and adherence to the grant agreement conditions. Water Board staff also inspect projects during and after construction and review progress reports and invoices. The Water Board requires each project recipient to develop a Project Assessment and Evaluation Plan to document how water quality and environmental improvements will be assessed and reported. Project outcomes are reported at project completion. Summaries of projects are available to the public on the Natural Resources Project Inventory database website. The Water Board conducts this work within existing resources authorized for administration of the bonds. The Department of Finance audits selected grants each year.

Upon approval of this proposal, funds would be provided to municipalities, local agencies and nonprofit organizations to aid in protecting and repairing local water quality issues. The people of California would benefit by water quality efforts to reduce health and safety risks. In addition, the wishes of the voters would be met, as well as the objectives/goals of Governor Brown's Water Plan and the Water Board's Strategic Plan. The implementation of this proposal would also benefit the following Environmental Protection Indicators for California.

Type I – Aquatic life and swimming uses assessed. Water quality data from some projects will be used for assessing beneficial uses.

Type I – Coastal Beach Availability. Clean Beaches Program projects will collect and report beach availability data.

Type I - Water Use Efficiency – Recycles Municipal Wastewater. Water recycling projects will collect and report this data.

Index – Drinking Water Supplies Exceeding maximum contaminant levels. Groundwater Monitoring will collect and report this data.

Type III – Groundwater Supply Reliability – Groundwater Monitoring data will be used for assessing this indicator.

The Water Board has accounting systems in place in order to remain accountable and track bond fund expenditures. In addition, the Water Board also provides regular reports on bond expenditures to the State Controller's Office, State Treasurer, and the Department of Finance.

Workload for bond-funded programs is currently measured by the number of new grants executed. The Water Board has selected projects in FY 2015-16 and will be executing new agreements in late FY

2015-16 and 2016-17. Due to the uncertainty of how many projects will be executed, we are unable to project workload.

If this proposal is not approved, there would be numerous adverse impacts. First, it would leave the bond programs unfunded. This would be contrary to the wishes of the voters and would leave projects unfinished. Second, the State may see potential lawsuits from grantees that were awarded a grant but can no longer continue due to lack of funding. Third, cash from bond sales would remain in bank accounts unused. Fourth, the goals and objectives of both Governor Brown's Water Plan and the Water Board's Strategic Plan would not be met. Finally, if projects are not completed, California waters may become a health and safety hazard.

#### **Projected Outcomes**

The figures in the Workload History Chart represent the total local assistance dollars that are estimated to be remaining by Proposition that has not been expended or encumbered at the end of each fiscal year

Workload Measure	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Proposition 13.	49,871	34,671	29,071
Proposition 40	17,744	12,344	10,744
Proposition 50	28,114	19,914	17,614
Proposition 84	124,381	81,881	72,081

# F. Analysis of All Feasible Alternatives

# 1. Allow appropriation, reversions and re-appropriations

Pro: The voters passed Proposition 13, 40, 50 and 84 with the expectations that the funds would be provided to municipalities, local agencies, and nonprofit organizations to solve local water quality problems. With this alternative these expectations would be met and efforts to ensure water quality would reduce health and safety risks to the State of California.

Con: If this proposal is denied, there would be numerous adverse impacts such as: leaving programs unfunded, which would be contrary to the wishes of the voters and result in unfinished projects; cash from bond sales would remain in bank accounts unused; the goals and objectives of both the Water Action Plan and the Water Board's Strategic Plan would not be satisfied; and finally, California waters would not benefit from future bond-funded projects.

### 2. Allow a portion of authority

Pro: If a portion of authority is granted, some grantees would continue to receive funding allowing water quality projects to be completed.

Con: Many projects would remain un-funded and efforts to reduce health and safety risks through water quality would not be met.

# 3. No Action (no appropriations, reversions or re-appropriations)

Pro: No additional projects to manage

Con: To leave these projects un-funded would be contrary to the wishes of the voters and would leave projects unfinished and un-funded. Cash from bond sales would remain in bank accounts unused; the goals and objectives of both the Water Action Plan and the Water Board's Strategic Plan would not be satisfied; California's water may become a health and safety hazard; and finally California waters would not benefit from future bond-funded projects.

## 4. Find and use alternative funding

Pro: Grantees may continue to receive funding so that water quality projects could be completed.

Con: Using funding from another source would cause a decrease in allotments for other programs. In addition, cash from bond sales would remain in bank accounts unused.

## G. Implementation Plan

If the requested authority is granted, projects will continue to be funded.

# H. Supplemental Information

N/A

## I. Recommendation

The Water Board recommends approving Alternative 1: Allow re-appropriations of local assistance funds to extend the encumbrance period to June 30, 2019 and the liquidation period to June 30, 2021; reversions of state operations and local assistance unused authority to allow future expenditures; and the appropriation of local assistance funds. By approving this request the Water Board can align budget authority with estimated needs of projects focused on solving local water quality problems.

	СҮ	ВҮ	BY+1	BY+2	BY+3	BY+4
Positions						
Permanent	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Temporary	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Exempt	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Board	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Positions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Salaries and Wages			0.1-	77.045	77.045	77.045
Earnings - Permanent	0	-77,945	-77,945	-77,945	-77,945	-77,945
Earnings - Temporary	O	-555	-555	-555	-555	-555
Earnings - Statutory/Exempt	0	. 0	U	0	0	0
Overtime, Holiday, Other	0 <b>\$0</b>	-\$7 <b>8,500</b>	-\$78,500	-\$78,500	-\$78,500	-\$78,500
Total Salaries and Wages	\$0	-\$76,500	-\$76,500	-\$76,500	-\$70,500	-\$10,500
Staff Benefits						
Dental Insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disability Leave	0	0	0	0	0	0
Health Insurance	0	-16,779	-16,779	-16,779	-16,779	-16,779
Life Insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medicare Taxation	이	0	0	이	0	0
OASDI	이	0	0	0	0	0
Retirement	0	-16,121	-16,121	-16,121	-16,121	<b>-</b> 16,121
Unemployment insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vision Care	0	0	0	0	0	0
Workers Compensation	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staff Benefits - Other	0	0	0	00000	20.000	20.000
Total Staff Benefits	0	-32,900	-32,900	-32,900	-32,900	-32,900
İ						
Total Personal Services	\$0	-\$111,400	-\$111,400	-\$111,400	-\$111,400	-\$111,400
Operating Expenses and Equipment					_	
General Expense	0	-11,237	-11,237	-11,237	-11,237	-11,237
Printing	0	-1,749	-1,749	-1,749	-1,749	-1,749
Communications	0	-2,892	-2,892	-2,892	-2,892	-2,892
Postage	0	0	0	0	0	0
Insurance	0	0	0	٠ 0	0	·0
Travel	0	-5,200	-5,200			-5,200
Training	0	-1,758	-1,758		-1,758	-1,758
Facilities Operations	0	-32,219	-32,219	-32,219	-32,219	-32,219
Utilities	0	-526	-526		-526	-526
Consulting and Professional Services	0	-287	-287	-287	-287	-287
Departmental Services	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consolidated Data Centers	0	-871	-871	-871	-871	-871
Information Technology .	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central Administrative Services	- 0	٥	0	0	0	0
Office Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0.004
Other	0	-6,861	-6,861 40,6 <b>7</b> 0,000	-6,861	-6,861	-6,861
Unclassified/Special Adjustment	0	-15,383,000	-18,672,000			-18,672,000
Total Operating Expenses and Equipment	\$0	-\$15,446,600	-\$18,735,600	-\$18,735,600	-\$18,735,600	-\$18,735,600
Total Dudget Powers	\$0	-\$15,558,000	-\$18,847,000	-\$18,847,000	-\$18,847,000	-\$18,847,000
Total Budget Request	- \$0	-#13,336,000	-ψ10,047,000	-\$10,041,000	<b>4.0,041,000</b>	Ţ.5j511j500
Fund Source - State Operations						
General Fund	0	0	0	0	이	C
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	4
Other/Special Funds	0	-175,000	-175,000			-175,000
Total State Operations Expenditures	\$0	-\$175,000	-\$175,000	-\$175,000	-\$175,000	-\$175,000
Fund Source - Local Assistance						_
General Fund	0	0	0	0	0	(
Federal Funds	0	0	0	10 070 000	40.070.000	40.670.000
Other/Special Funds	0.	-15,383,000	-18,672,000			
Total Local Assistance Expenditures	\$0	-\$15,383,000	-\$18,672,000	-\$18,672,000	-\$18,672,000	-\$18,672,000